Bioscene

Bioscene
Volume- 21 Number- 03
ISSN: 1539-2422 (P) 2055-1583 (O)
www.explorebioscene.com

Evaluate the Influence of Water Toxicity in Various Regions of Kharar, Punjab on Freshwater Ecosystems: A Review on Environmental Health

Dr Rashmi Gupta* & Swarnita[+]
Professor in Zoology*, Department of Bioscience*[+], UIBT, Chandigarh University, Mohali, India

Abstract : Water is the essence of life, yet its purity is increasingly threatened by human activities, particularly through the contamination of freshwater systems by heavy metals. This review delves into the pervasive issue of water toxicity, focusing on heavy metal pollution in freshwater ecosystems. Using the Kharar region in Punjab, India, as a case study, this paper examines how industrialization, urbanization, and agricultural practices have contributed to the accumulation of hazardous metals in water bodies, posing significant risks to both environmental and human health. The review synthesizes current research, providing a comprehensive overview of the sources of heavy metals, their pathways into aquatic systems, and the devastating effects they can have on aquatic life and human communities. It also discusses the various methodologies employed in detecting and analyzing these contaminants, highlighting the critical role of advanced analytical techniques such as Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) and Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS). In addition to reviewing the findings from the study conducted in Kharar, the paper incorporates insights from a broader body of research, offering a well-rounded perspective on the global challenge of heavy metal contamination. By exploring both the scientific and socio-economic dimensions of the issue, this review underscores the urgent need for effective mitigation strategies and stronger regulatory frameworks to protect our water resources. The paper concludes by reflecting on the future of research and policy development in environmental health, advocating for a more integrated approach that combines technological innovation with community engagement to tackle the complex problem of water toxicity. Ultimately, this review serves as a call to action, emphasizing that safeguarding our freshwater ecosystems is not just a scientific imperative, but a moral responsibility to future generations.

Keywords : Heavy Metals, Freshwater Ecosystems, Environmental Health,Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS).

1. Introduction

Water is not just a resource; it is the foundation of all life on Earth. Our survival, ecosystems, and economies all depend on it. Yet, in the midst of rapid industrialization, urbanization, and agricultural expansion, this precious resource is increasingly becoming a conduit for a wide array of pollutants. Among these, heavy metals stand out as some of the most insidious due to their persistence in the environment, their tendency to bioaccumulate, and their toxicity, even at minute concentrations (Jarup, 2003). The contamination of freshwater systems by heavy metals has thus emerged as a significant global concern, threatening both environmental and public health, particularly in developing regions where regulatory measures may be less stringent.

1.1. Global Context of Water Toxicity

Freshwater ecosystems play an indispensable role in maintaining ecological balance, supporting biodiversity, and providing essential resources for human consumption, agriculture, and industry. However, these ecosystems are increasingly threatened by pollutants, with heavy metals posing some of the gravest risks. Metals such as lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), cadmium (Cd), and arsenic (As) enter water bodies through various anthropogenic activities, including industrial discharges, mining operations, agricultural runoff, and improper waste disposal (Singh et al., 2010). Unlike many other pollutants, heavy metals do not degrade over time. Instead, they persist, entering the food chain and accumulating in living organisms, leading to devastating ecological and health consequences (Duruibe et al., 2007).

In many developed nations, stringent regulations and advanced treatment technologies have been implemented to mitigate the risks associated with heavy metal contamination. For example, the European Union and the United States have established comprehensive water quality standards to control the levels of these hazardous substances (Lanphear et al., 2005). However, in many developing regions, including parts of India, such measures are often inadequate or poorly enforced, leading to widespread contamination of water resources. Studying water toxicity in these vulnerable regions not only highlights local challenges but also provides crucial insights into the broader, global issue of water pollution and its far-reaching implications (Järup, 2003).

1.2. Water Toxicity in Kharar, Punjab

The region of Kharar in Punjab, India, presents a microcosm of the broader issues related to water toxicity, particularly concerning the contamination of freshwater ecosystems with heavy metals. Punjab, known as the "Granary of India," is an agriculturally intensive state. However, the extensive use of fertilizers and pesticides, coupled with the discharge of untreated industrial effluents, has led to significant environmental degradation. Rapid urbanization in areas like Kharar exacerbates this problem, with insufficient infrastructure to manage waste effectively and prevent pollution (Sharma et al., 2007).

The research conducted in Kharar, as discussed in the thesis under review, reveals alarmingly high levels of heavy metal contamination in local water bodies. Such contamination directly threatens the health of local populations, who depend on these water sources for drinking, irrigation, and daily activities. The contamination of groundwater, a major water source in Punjab, underscores the urgent need for effective management and remediation strategies (Singh et al., 2010).

1.3. Importance of Heavy Metal Monitoring

Monitoring heavy metal levels in water bodies is crucial for assessing pollution, identifying contamination sources, and evaluating the effectiveness of regulatory measures. Advanced analytical techniques, such as Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), have revolutionized environmental monitoring by enabling the precise detection of trace levels of heavy metals in water (Jiang et al., 2014). The thesis under review employs ICP-MS to measure heavy metal concentrations in water samples from Kharar, offering a robust dataset that illuminates the severity of the issue.

However, merely detecting heavy metals is not enough. It is equally important to interpret these findings within the broader context of environmental health. This involves understanding how these metals enter water bodies, their behavior in aquatic environments, and their impact on both human health and ecosystems. This review aims to synthesize current knowledge on these aspects, using the Kharar study as a focal point, while drawing on a wide range of literature to provide a comprehensive overview of the problem (Harguinteguy et al., 2014).

1.4. Objectives of the Review

The primary objective of this review is to evaluate the impact of heavy metal contamination on freshwater ecosystems, with a specific focus on the findings from Kharar, Punjab. This review will:

- Summarize the key sources of heavy metal pollution and their pathways into freshwater systems.
- Discuss the methodologies used for detecting and analyzing heavy metals in water, emphasizing the techniques employed in the Kharar study.
- Analyze the health and environmental impacts of heavy metal contamination, comparing the Kharar study with other relevant research.
- Explore policy implications of these findings and suggest potential strategies for mitigating the risks associated with heavy metal contamination.

By achieving these objectives, this review seeks to contribute to the broader understanding of water toxicity, emphasizing the urgent need for coordinated efforts to protect freshwater resources from contamination and to ensure the safety and well-being of the communities that depend on them. Ultimately, this review highlights the dual nature of water as both a life-sustaining resource and, when contaminated, a potential vector of harm, underscoring our collective responsibility to safeguard this vital resource for future generations (Smith et al., 2002).

2.1 Global Overview of Heavy Metal Contamination in Water

Heavy metal contamination of water bodies is a pervasive global issue, affecting both developed and developing nations. The literature on this topic highlights the persistence, bioaccumulation, and toxicity of heavy metals such as lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), cadmium (Cd), and arsenic (As) in various aquatic ecosystems. These metals, often byproducts of industrialization, mining, and agricultural activities, pose significant risks to both environmental and human health (Alloway, 2013). In developed countries, stringent environmental regulations have somewhat curtailed the release of these contaminants. However, in developing regions, the lack of effective waste management and regulatory oversight exacerbates the problem (Jaishankar et al., 2014).

For instance, research conducted in China's industrial zones revealed alarmingly high levels of heavy metals in local rivers, attributed to unregulated industrial discharges (Zhang et al., 2016). Similarly, in Sub-Saharan Africa, mining operations have led to widespread contamination of freshwater systems, adversely affecting both the environment and the health of nearby communities (Nduka and Orisakwe, 2011). These studies underline the global nature of the problem, emphasizing the need for coordinated international efforts to address heavy metal pollution in water resources.

2.2 Heavy Metal Contamination in India: A Regional Perspective

India presents a compelling case for studying heavy metal contamination due to its rapid industrialization, urbanization, and intensive agricultural practices. Research has shown that many regions in India, particularly those with significant industrial activity, suffer from severe water contamination issues. A study by Sharma et al. (2007) reported elevated levels of cadmium, lead, and arsenic in the groundwater of industrial areas in northern India, highlighting the health risks posed to local populations.

Punjab, one of India's most agriculturally intensive states, has been identified as a hotspot for water contamination. The extensive use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides, coupled with the discharge of untreated industrial effluents, has led to significant heavy metal pollution in the state's water bodies (Singh et al., 2010). The situation is further compounded by the over-extraction of groundwater, which increases the concentration of these contaminants in the remaining water supply (Kumar et al., 2015). This body of literature underscores the urgent need for more effective regulatory frameworks and remediation strategies to safeguard water quality in India.

2.3 Heavy Metals in Punjab: A Case Study of Kharar

Kharar, a rapidly urbanizing region in Punjab, serves as a microcosm for understanding the broader issues of heavy metal contamination in India. The region's water resources have been significantly impacted by both agricultural runoff and industrial discharges, leading to the accumulation of heavy metals in local water bodies (Kaur et al., 2018). Studies conducted in the area have documented high concentrations of lead, cadmium, and arsenic in groundwater and surface water, posing serious health risks to the local population (Gupta et al., 2017).

One study highlighted in the reviewed thesis utilized Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) to measure heavy metal concentrations in water samples from Kharar, revealing that many of these metals were present at levels far exceeding the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for drinking water (Jain et al., 2019). This finding is consistent with other studies conducted in similar agricultural and industrial regions across India, where heavy metal contamination is a growing concern (Sarkar et al., 2017). The literature on Kharar highlights the critical need for continuous monitoring and the implementation of effective mitigation strategies to protect the health of local communities.

2.4 Sources of Heavy Metal Contamination

The literature identifies several key sources of heavy metal contamination in water, including industrial effluents, agricultural runoff, urban waste, and atmospheric deposition. Industrial activities, particularly those related to mining, metal processing, and manufacturing, are the primary contributors to heavy metal pollution (Alloway, 2013). These industries often discharge untreated or inadequately treated wastewater containing high concentrations of metals directly into water bodies, leading to severe environmental degradation.

Agricultural practices also play a significant role in heavy metal contamination. The use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides, which often contain trace amounts of heavy metals, leads to their accumulation in soils and subsequent leaching into water bodies through runoff (Gupta and Gupta, 1998). This is particularly evident in regions like Punjab, where intensive agriculture is prevalent. Urbanization and poor waste management practices further exacerbate the problem, with heavy metals from household waste and industrial products finding their way into local water sources (Zhang et al., 2016).

Atmospheric deposition is another significant pathway through which heavy metals enter aquatic environments. Metals released into the atmosphere through industrial emissions and vehicular exhaust can settle onto land and water surfaces, where they are washed into rivers and lakes by precipitation (Chen et al., 2012). This is particularly problematic in regions downwind of major industrial areas, where heavy metal deposition can significantly impact water quality.

2.5 Transport, Fate, and Bioaccumulation of Heavy Metals in Aquatic Environments

Once heavy metals enter aquatic environments, their behaviour is influenced by a range of physical, chemical, and biological processes. The solubility and speciation of these metals, which are dependent on factors such as pH, temperature, and the presence of complexing agents, determine their mobility, bioavailability, and toxicity (Wang and Mulligan, 2006). Metals can exist in various forms in water, including free ions, complexes with organic or inorganic ligands, or as particulate matter.

The literature also highlights the importance of sedimentation and resuspension processes in the transport and fate of heavy metals. Metals can adsorb onto suspended particles in the water and eventually settle to the bottom, accumulating in sediments (Förstner and Wittmann, 2012). However, changes in environmental conditions, such as water flow or pH, can cause these sediments to be resuspended, releasing the metals back into the water column. This dynamic nature of metal contamination poses significant challenges for long-term remediation efforts.

Bioaccumulation and biomagnification are among the most concerning aspects of heavy metal contamination. Even low concentrations of metals in water can lead to significant bioaccumulation in aquatic organisms, which in turn poses a threat to predators, including humans, who consume these organisms (Harguinteguy et al., 2014). The literature extensively documents the biomagnification of mercury in fish, which has serious implications for human health, particularly in communities that rely heavily on fish as a dietary staple (Mergler et al., 2007).

2.6 Health and Environmental Impacts of Heavy Metal Contamination

The toxic effects of heavy metals on human health and the environment are well-documented. Chronic exposure to heavy metals can lead to a range of health issues, including neurological damage, kidney dysfunction, cardiovascular problems, and an increased risk of cancer (Järup, 2003; Grandjean et al., 2010). For instance, lead exposure is particularly harmful to children, leading to developmental and cognitive impairments (Needleman et al., 2004; Lanphear et al., 2005). Mercury, especially in its methylated form, is associated with severe neurological disorders and developmental defects (Clarkson et al., 2003). Arsenic, often found in drinking water in many parts of India, has been linked to skin lesions, cardiovascular diseases, and various cancers (Smith et al., 2002).

Environmental impacts of heavy metal contamination are equally severe, affecting the biodiversity and functionality of aquatic ecosystems. High concentrations of metals can be directly toxic to aquatic organisms, reducing biodiversity and altering ecosystem functions (Duruibe et al., 2007). Sub-lethal concentrations can affect the growth, reproduction, and behaviour of aquatic organisms, leading to long-term declines in populations (Yi et al., 2011). The biomagnification of metals like mercury in food webs poses significant risks to higher trophic levels, including humans (Mergler et al., 2007)

Discussion

The Severity of Heavy Metal Contamination in Kharar

The findings from the Kharar region highlight a deeply concerning issue. The levels of heavy metals like lead, cadmium, mercury, and arsenic in local water bodies exceed safe limits, posing significant risks to both the environment and human health. The data gathered aligns with global trends observed in rapidly industrializing regions where environmental regulations may be insufficient or poorly enforced.

The industrial effluents, agricultural runoff, and improper waste disposal practices identified as major sources of contamination in Kharar are consistent with broader patterns observed in other parts of India and developing countries. These sources introduce a cocktail of heavy metals into water bodies, where they persist, accumulate, and pose long-term risks. The local context of Kharar, with its rapid urbanization and agricultural intensity, exacerbates these problems, leading to a toxic environment that threatens both ecological integrity and public health.

Comparative Analysis with Global Trends

When comparing Kharar's situation with global trends, a few key insights emerge. In developed countries, stringent regulations and advanced water treatment technologies have significantly mitigated the risks associated with heavy metal contamination. However, in developing regions like Punjab, the combination of industrial growth, agricultural practices, and weak regulatory frameworks leads to a much higher incidence of contamination.

This comparison underscores the importance of policy and infrastructure in managing environmental health risks. The case of Kharar serves as a stark reminder that without robust environmental regulations and effective implementation, industrial and agricultural activities can lead to severe ecological degradation and public health crises. The global perspective also highlights the need for international cooperation and knowledge exchange, as developing regions could benefit from the experiences and technologies developed in more regulated environments.

Health and Environmental Impacts

The health impacts of heavy metal contamination are severe and multifaceted. Chronic exposure to metals like lead, cadmium, and mercury can lead to a range of health issues, including neurological damage, kidney dysfunction, and increased cancer risk. These metals are particularly dangerous because they can bioaccumulate in the body, meaning even low-level exposure over time can lead to significant health problems.

In Kharar, the reliance on contaminated water sources for drinking, cooking, and irrigation amplifies these health risks. The local population, particularly vulnerable groups such as children and the elderly, faces heightened exposure to these toxic substances. This situation is not unique to Kharar; similar patterns are observed in other parts of India and globally in regions with inadequate water quality management.

The environmental impacts are equally concerning. Heavy metals in water bodies can disrupt aquatic ecosystems, leading to reduced biodiversity and altered ecological functions. The bioaccumulation of these metals in aquatic organisms can lead to biomagnification up the food chain, affecting not only wildlife but also humans who consume contaminated fish and other aquatic products. The Kharar study highlights these risks, showing significant contamination levels in local aquatic ecosystems, which can have cascading effects throughout the food web.

Detection and Analysis.

The use of advanced techniques such as Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) in detecting heavy metals is crucial for accurate assessment and management of contamination risks. The Kharar study effectively utilized this technology to provide precise data on the levels of various heavy metals in water samples, offering a robust foundation for understanding the extent of contamination.

However, while detection technologies have advanced, there remains a gap in their widespread application, particularly in developing regions. Cost, access to technology, and the need for specialized training are barriers that prevent many regions from effectively monitoring and managing heavy metal contamination. The discussion, therefore, emphasizes the need for increased investment in environmental monitoring infrastructure, capacity building, and the development of cost-effective detection methods that can be deployed more broadly.

2.7 Detection and Analysis Techniques

Accurate detection and quantification of heavy metals in water are crucial for assessing the extent of contamination and guiding remediation efforts. The literature identifies several advanced techniques for detecting heavy metals, including Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS), and X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF).

ICP-MS is highlighted as one of the most sensitive and precise techniques for detecting trace levels of heavy metals in water (Jiang et al., 2014). It works by ionizing the sample with inductively coupled plasma and using a mass spectrometer to detect and quantify the ions. This technique is capable of detecting metals at concentrations as low as parts per trillion, making it ideal for monitoring water quality in regions with low but potentially harmful levels of contamination.

AAS is another widely used technique that involves the absorption of light by free atoms in the ground state, with the amount of light absorbed proportional to the concentration of the metal in the sample (Welz and Sperling, 2008). While less sensitive than ICP-MS, AAS is more accessible and cost-effective, making it a popular choice for routine monitoring of water quality.

XRF, which is used to determine the elemental composition of samples, provides rapid, in-situ analysis of heavy metal contamination, particularly useful for analyzing solid samples such as sediments (Jenkins, 1999). Each of these techniques has its strengths and limitations, and their use often depends on the specific requirements of the study, including the types of metals being analyzed, the expected concentration levels, and the available resources.

This section provides a comprehensive overview of heavy metal contamination in water from global, regional, and local perspectives, focusing on the Kharar region in Punjab, India. It explores the sources of contamination, how these metals are transported, their impacts on health and the environment, and the techniques used to detect them.

Conclusion

The issue of heavy metal contamination in water, as shown by the situation in Kharar, Punjab, is a stark reminder of the global challenge we face in protecting our water sources. The pollution in Kharar, caused by a mix of industrial activities, farming practices, and poor waste management, reflects a wider problem seen in many rapidly industrializing areas around the world.

In Kharar, heavy metals like lead, cadmium, mercury, and arsenic have seeped into local water bodies, creating serious health and environmental risks. These metals don’t break down easily and can build up in plants and animals, leading to long-term damage. This situation points to the urgent need for better management of water quality, especially in vulnerable regions.

But tackling heavy metal pollution isn’t just about better regulations or improved waste management. It also requires a strong community effort. Local people need to be informed about the risks of contaminated water and be encouraged to take action for cleaner, safer environments. Education and community engagement are key to any successful pollution control strategy.

On a global scale, international cooperation is crucial. Pollution doesn’t stop at borders, and its effects can be felt far from where it started. Countries need to work together, sharing knowledge and technology to address contamination effectively.

The Kharar case highlights a clear message: while heavy metal pollution is a serious challenge, it's not one we can't overcome. By coming together—governments, businesses, communities, and international organizations—we can make a real difference. With joint efforts and a commitment to sustainable practices, we can protect our water resources and ensure a healthier future for everyone

References

1) Chen, J., Li, X., & Duan, Z. (2012). Atmospheric Deposition of Heavy Metals in the Water System. *Environmental Pollution*, 10(2), 101-110.
2) Duruibe, J. O., Ogwuegbu, M. O. C., &Egwurugwu, J. N. (2007). Heavy Metal Pollution and Human Biotoxic Effects. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 2(5), 112-118.
3) Förstner, U., & Wittmann, G. T. (2012). *Metal Pollution in the Aquatic Environment*. Springer Science & Business Media.
4) Grandjean, P., Satoh, H., Murata, K., & Eto, K. (2010). Adverse Effects of Methylmercury: Environmental Health Research Implications. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118(8), 1137-1145.
5) Harguinteguy, C. A., Cofré, M. N., Fernandez Cirelli, A., &Pignata, M. L. (2014). Heavy Metal Accumulation in Aquatic Macrophytes: Validation of a Bioaccumulation Model. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 186(8), 4889-4899.
6) Järup, L. (2003). Hazards of Heavy Metal Contamination. *British Medical Bulletin*, 68(1), 167-182.
7) Jiang, S. J., & Hsi, H. C. (2014). Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry: An Introduction. In *Mass Spectrometry of Natural Substances in Food* (pp. 85-106). Springer.
8) Lanphear, B. P., Hornung, R., Khoury, J., Yolton, K., Baghurst, P., Bellinger, D. C & Canfield, R. L. (2005). Low-level Environmental Lead Exposure and Children's Intellectual Function: An International Pooled Analysis. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 113(7), 894-899.
9) Mergler, D., Anderson, H. A., Chan, L. H. M., Mahaffey, K. R., Murray, M., Sakamoto, M., & Stern, A. H. (2007). Methylmercury Exposure and Health Effects in Humans: A Worldwide Concern. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment*, 36(1), 3-11.
10) Needleman, H. L., Schell, A., Bellinger, D., Leviton, A., & Allred, E. N. (2004). The Long-term Effects of Exposure to Low Doses of Lead in Childhood. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 322(2), 83-88.
11) Sharma, R. K., Agrawal, M., & Marshall, F. M. (2007). Heavy Metals Contamination in Vegetables Grown in Wastewater Irrigated Areas of Varanasi, India. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 79(1), 90-94.

12) Singh, S., Raju, N. J., &Ramakrishnaiah, C. R. (2010). Evaluation of the Groundwater Quality of Bhavnagar Region (Gujarat), India. *Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering*, 52(2), 69-76.
13) Smith, A. H., Lingas, E. O., & Rahman, M. (2002). Contamination of Drinking-water by Arsenic in Bangladesh: A Public Health Emergency. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 78, 1093-1103.
14) Wang, S., & Mulligan, C. N. (2006). Natural Attenuation Processes for Remediation of Arsenic Contaminated Soils and Groundwater. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 138(3), 459-470.
15) Welz, B., & Sperling, M. (2008). *Atomic Absorption Spectrometry*. John Wiley & Sons.
16) Yi, Y., Yang, Z., & Zhang, S. (2011). Ecological Risk Assessment of Heavy Metals in Sediment and Human Health Risk Assessment of Heavy Metals in Fishes in the Middle and Lower Reaches of the Yangtze River Basin. *Environmental Pollution*, 159(10), 2575-2585.
17) Chen, J., Li, X., & Duan, Z. (2012). Atmospheric Deposition of Heavy Metals in the Water System. *Environmental Pollution*, 10(2), 101-110.
18) Duruibe, J. O., Ogwuegbu, M. O. C., &Egwurugwu, J. N. (2007). Heavy Metal Pollution and Human Biotoxic Effects. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 2(5), 112-118.
19) Förstner, U., & Wittmann, G. T. (2012). *Metal Pollution in the Aquatic Environment*. Springer Science & Business Media.
20) Grandjean, P., Satoh, H., Murata, K., & Eto, K. (2010). Adverse Effects of Methylmercury: Environmental Health Research Implications. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118(8), 1137-1145.
21) Harguinteguy, C. A., Cofré, M. N., Fernandez Cirelli, A., &Pignata, M. L. (2014). Heavy Metal Accumulation in Aquatic Macrophytes: Validation of a Bioaccumulation Model. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 186(8), 4889-4899.
22) Järup, L. (2003). Hazards of Heavy Metal Contamination. *British Medical Bulletin*, 68(1), 167-182.
23) Jiang, S. J., & Hsi, H. C. (2014). Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry: An Introduction. In *Mass Spectrometry of Natural Substances in Food* (pp. 85-106). Springer.
24) Lanphear, B. P., Hornung, R., Khoury, J., Yolton, K., Baghurst, P., Bellinger, D. C., ... & Canfield, R. L. (2005). Low-level Environmental Lead Exposure and Children's Intellectual Function: An International Pooled Analysis. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 113(7), 894-899.
25) Mergler, D., Anderson, H. A., Chan, L. H. M., Mahaffey, K. R., Murray, M., Sakamoto, M., & Stern, A. H. (2007). Methylmercury Exposure and Health Effects in Humans: A Worldwide Concern. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment*, 36(1), 3-11.

26) Needleman, H. L., Schell, A., Bellinger, D., Leviton, A., & Allred, E. N. (2004). The Long-term Effects of Exposure to Low Doses of Lead in Childhood. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 322(2), 83-88.
27) Sharma, R. K., Agrawal, M., & Marshall, F. M. (2007). Heavy Metals Contamination in Vegetables Grown in Wastewater Irrigated Areas of Varanasi, India. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 79(1), 90-94.
28) Singh, S., Raju, N. J., &Ramakrishnaiah, C. R. (2010). Evaluation of the Groundwater Quality of Bhavnagar Region (Gujarat), India. *Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering*, 52(2), 69-76.
29) Smith, A. H., Lingas, E. O., & Rahman, M. (2002). Contamination of Drinking-water by Arsenic in Bangladesh: A Public Health Emergency. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 78, 1093-1103.
30) Wang, S., & Mulligan, C. N. (2006). Natural Attenuation Processes for Remediation of Arsenic Contaminated Soils and Groundwater. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 138(3), 459-470.
31) Yi, Y., Yang, Z., & Zhang, S. (2011). Ecological Risk Assessment of Heavy Metals in Sediment and Human Health Risk Assessment of Heavy Metals in Fishes in the Middle and Lower Reaches of the Yangtze River Basin. *Environmental Pollution*, 159(10), 2575-2585.
32) Ali, H., Khan, E. and Sajad, M.A. (2013). Phytoremediation of heavy metals—concepts and applications. *Chemosphere*, 91(7), pp.869-881.
33) Alloway, B.J. (2013). *Heavy metals in soils: trace metals and metalloids in soils and their bioavailability.* 3rd ed. Dordrecht: Springer.
34) Chen, H., Teng, Y., Lu, S., Wang, Y. and Wang, J. (2012). Contamination features and health risk of soil heavy metals in China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 420, pp.17-24.
35) Clarkson, T.W., Magos, L. and Myers, G.J. (2003). The toxicology of mercury—current exposures and clinical manifestations. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 349(18), pp.1731-1737.
36) Duruibe, J.O., Ogwuegbu, M.O. and Egwurugwu, J.N. (2007). Heavy metal pollution and human biotoxic effects. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 2(5), pp.112-118.
37) Förstner, U. and Wittmann, G.T. (2012). *Metal pollution in the aquatic environment*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
38) Fu, F. and Wang, Q. (2011). Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewaters: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 92(3), pp.407-418.
39) Grandjean, P., Weihe, P., White, R.F., Debes, F., Araki, S., Yokoyama, K., Murata, K., Sørensen, N., Dahl, R. and Jørgensen, P.J. (2010). Cognitive deficit in 7-year-old children with prenatal exposure to methylmercury. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 19(6), pp.417-428.

40) Gupta, A.K. and Gupta, S.K. (1998). Trace metal toxicity relationships to chlorophyll in macrophytes of river Yamuna (Delhi), India. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 51(1), pp.177-185.
41) Gupta, N., Yadav, K.K. and Kumar, V. (2017). A review on current status of municipal solid waste management in India. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 55, pp.147-161.
42) Harguinteguy, C.A., Cirelli, A.F. and Pignata, M.L. (2014). Heavy metal accumulation in leaves of aquatic plant Stuckeniafiliformis and its relationship with sediment and water in the Chacopampean plain, Argentina. *Microchemical Journal*, 114, pp.111-118.
43) Jaishankar, M., Tseten, T., Anbalagan, N., Mathew, B.B. and Beeregowda, K.N. (2014). Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. *Interdisciplinary Toxicology*, 7(2), pp.60-72.
44) Jain, C.K., Singhal, D.C., Sharma, M.K. and Sharma, N. (2019). Groundwater contamination and health hazards by heavy metals in industrial areas of Ludhiana district, Punjab. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 191(7), pp.1-16.
45) Järup, L. (2003). Hazards of heavy metal contamination. *British Medical Bulletin*, 68(1), pp.167-182.
46) Jiang, J., Zhou, J., Du, X., Zhang, H., Song, L., Wang, Y. and Yang, Y. (2014). A review on the detection of heavy metal ions in water using nanomaterials-based sensors. *Microchimica Acta*, 181(11-12), pp.1123-1130.
47) Kaur, S., Singh, R. and Arora, S. (2018). Assessment of heavy metal pollution in groundwater and human health risk in Kharar, Punjab, India. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(8), pp.7985-7996.
48) Kumar, M., Kumari, K., Ramanathan, A.L. and Saxena, R. (2015). A comparative evaluation of groundwater suitability for irrigation and drinking purposes in two intensively cultivated districts of Punjab, India. *Environmental Geology*, 53(3), pp.553-574.
49) Lanphear, B.P., Hornung, R., Khoury, J., Yolton, K., Baghurst, P., Bellinger, D.C., Canfield, R.L., Dietrich, K.N., Bornschein, R., Greene, T., Rothenberg, S.J., Needleman, H.L., Schnaas, L., Wasserman, G., Graziano, J. and Roberts, R. (2005). Low-level environmental lead exposure and children's intellectual function: an international pooled analysis. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 113(7), pp.894-899.
50) Mergler, D., Anderson, H.A., Chan, L.H., Mahaffey, K.R., Murray, M., Sakamoto, M. and Stern, A.H. (2007). Methylmercury exposure and health effects in humans: a worldwide concern. *Ambio*, 36(1), pp.3-11.
51) Needleman, H.L., McFarland, C., Ness, R.B., Fienberg, S.E. and Tobin, M.J. (2004). Bone lead levels in adjudicated delinquents: a case-control study. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 24(6), pp.711-717.

52) Nduka, J.K. and Orisakwe, O.E. (2011). Heavy metal levels and potential health risk assessment of water quality in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology*, 3(9), pp.238-246.
53) Sarkar, A., Paul, B., Mandal, T.K., Chattopadhyay, B., Bhattacharyya, K.G. and Thakur, R.S. (2017). Assessment of groundwater contamination with heavy metals and human health risk in industrial belt of Haryana, India. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A*, 52(9), pp.792-803.
54) Sharma, R.K., Agrawal, M. and Marshall, F.M. (2007). Heavy metals contamination in vegetables grown in wastewater irrigated areas of Varanasi, India. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 79(5), pp.562-566.
55) Singh, A., Agrawal, M. and Marshall, F.M. (2010). The role of organic vs. inorganic fertilizers in reducing phytoavailability of heavy metals in a wastewater-irrigated area. *Ecological Engineering*, 36(12), pp.1733-1740.
56) Smith, A.H., Lingas, E.O. and Rahman, M. (2002). Contamination of drinking-water by arsenic in Bangladesh: a public health emergency. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 78(9), pp.1093-1103.
57) Wang, S. and Mulligan, C.N. (2006). Occurrence of arsenic contamination in Canada: sources, behavior and distribution. *Science of the Total Environment*, 366(2-3), pp.701-721.
58) Welz, B. and Sperling, M. (2008). *Atomic absorption spectrometry*. 3rd ed. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH.
59) Yi, Y., Yang, Z. and Zhang, S. (2011). Ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in sediment and human health risk assessment of heavy metals in fishes in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River basin. *Environmental Pollution*, 159(10), pp.2575-2585.
60) Zhang, H., Wang, Z., Zhang, Y., Yang, J. and Tian, Y. (2016). Heavy metal contamination and health risk assessment in the vicinity of a chlor-alkali plant. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23(22), pp.22919-22929