

Bioscene Volume- 21 Number- 03 ISSN: 1539-2422 (P) 2055-1583 (O) www.explorebioscene.com

A Comparative Study on Foot Morphology between Different Sports of Inter University Level Players

Birendra Kumar Gupta

Research scholar Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India

Shabnam Bano

Research scholar Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India

Dr. Binayak Kumar Dubey

Department Of Physical Education Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India

Abstract: The purpose of this study is toComparison on Foot Morphology Between Different Sports of Inter University Level Players, such as badminton, basketball, volleyball, football, cricket, and athletics in Uttar Pradesh, India.A total of 120 male players (20 from each sport) participating in different sports at university level were selected for the present study. The age category of students was between the range of 18 to 22 years. Comprehending the distinct anatomical modifications exhibited by these athletes can offer valuable perspectives on the needs of individual sports and facilitate the creation of footwear and training regimens tailored to various sports. A variety of foot metrics, including Length (Foot Length, Ball of Foot Length, Outside Ball of Foot, Toe Length, Heel to Medial/Lateral Malleolus), were measured and analysed as part of the research. The findings showed that athletes from various sports had significantly diverse foot morphologies, indicating that each sport's particular physical demands result in different adaptations in the structure of the foot. When compared to other sports, football had the most significant variances across a number of parameters, suggesting that football players have distinct foot morphological traits.Specific foot measurements in basketball, badminton, and athletics were notably different from those in other sports.

Keywords: Foot morphology, Players, Inter University, Different sports.

Introduction

The human body relies heavily on its feet for stability and movement. It is the end of a limb that supports weight and permits movement. The human foot has more structural differences than most other regions of the body. The foot's shape and dimensions change as it grows. Large variances exist in the normal population at various ages, particularly in the features of the medial longitudinal arch (Kulthanan et al., 2004).

Understanding foot morphology in athletes is critical for improving performance and reducing sports injuries. Foot shape has a substantial impact on biomechanics, including balance, agility, and overall athletic performance. This comparative study examines foot morphology among inter-university level athletes from two different sports, with the goal of identifying characteristics that may contribute to sport-specific adaptations and requirements.

Foot morphology includes a variety of parameters such as foot length, width, arch height, and unique features such as heel width and thickness. These factors are critical in defining an athlete's biomechanical efficiency and injury risk (Nigg, 2010). For example, athletes with higher arches may thrive in sports that require agility and quick changes in direction, whereas those with lower arches may display advantages in endurance-related activities (Hofman et al., 2018).

Foot morphology can have a major impact on performance outcomes in sports like football and basketball, which include running, jumping, and rapid changes in direction (Wagner et al., 2020). Understanding how foot structure changes between players in these sports can shed light on biomechanical adjustments that improve movement efficiency and reduce injury risk.

Methodology

The current study included 120 male players (20 from each sport) who competed in several inter university sports. The students ages ranged from 18 to 22.

For the study, the scholar created an ink pad for foot imprinting on A4 paper. Subjects were instructed to stand with both feet on the ground, and both leg imprints were obtained. As a result, both legs on the ground, left and right, were used for the investigation.

Result and findings

Table- 1 showed that the Length (Foot Length, Ball of Foot Length, Outside Ball of Foot, Toe Length, Heel to Medial/Lateral Malleolus) multiple comparison through Post Hoc Test (LSD)

Multiple Comparisons								
LSD								
						95% C	Confidence	
				St		Interv	al	
			Mean	d.		Lowe	Uppe	
Depende	(I)	(D)	Differ	Er		r	r	
nt	SPOR	SPORT	ence	ro	Si	Boun	Boun	
Variable	TS	S	(I-J)	r	g.	d	d	

foot	Athle	Badmi	.6400	.3	.1	151	1.431
length(R)	tics	nton		99	1		
				5	2		
		Basket	.8350	.3	.0	.044	1.626
		ball	*	99	3		
				5	9		
		Cricket	.1800	.3995	.653	611	.971
		Football	6200	.3995	.123	-1.411	.171
		Volleyball	.5200	.3995	.196	271	1.311
	Badminton	Athletics	6400	.3995	.112	-1.431	.151
		Basketball	.1950	.3995	.626	596	.986
		Cricket	4600	.3995	.252	-1.251	.331
		Football	-1.2600*	.3995	.002	-2.051	469
		Volleyball	1200	.3995	.764	911	.671
	Basketball	Athletics	8350*	.3995	.039	-1.626	044
		Badminton	1950	.3995	.626	986	.596
		Cricket	6550	.3995	.104	-1.446	.136
		Football	-1.4550*	.3995	.000	-2.246	664
		Volleyball	3150	.3995	.432	-1.106	.476
	Cricket	Athletics	1800	.3995	.653	971	.611
		Badminton	.4600	.3995	.252	331	1.251
		Basketball	.6550	.3995	.104	136	1.446
		Football	8000*	.3995	.048	-1.591	009
		Volleyball	.3400	.3995	.397	451	1.131
	Football	Athletics	.6200	.3995	.123	171	1.411
		Badminton	1.2600*	.3995	.002	.469	2.051
		Basketball	1.4550*	.3995	.000	.664	2.246
		Cricket	.8000*	.3995	.048	.009	1.591
		Volleyball	1.1400*	.3995	.005	.349	1.931
	Volleyball	Athletics	5200	.3995	.196	-1.311	.271
		Badminton	.1200	.3995	.764	671	.911
		Basketball	.3150	.3995	.432	476	1.106
		Cricket	3400	.3995	.397	-1.131	.451
		Football	-1.1400*	.3995	.005	-1.931	349
ball of foot	Athletics	Badminton	.2850	.3003	.345	310	.880
length		Basketball	.4200	.3003	.165	175	1.015
		Cricket	0850	.3003	.778	680	.510
		Football	7100*	.3003	.020	-1.305	115
		Volleyball	.0900	.3003	.765	505	.685
	Badminton	Athletics	2850	.3003	.345	880	.310
		Basketball	.1350	.3003	.654	460	.730

		Cricket	3700	.3003	.220	965	.225
		Football	9950*	.3003	.001	-1.590	400
		Volleyball	1950	.3003	.517	790	.400
	Basketball	Athletics	4200	.3003	.165	-1.015	.175
		Badminton	1350	.3003	.654	730	.460
		Cricket	5050	.3003	.095	-1.100	.090
		Football	-1.1300*	.3003	.000	-1.725	535
		Volleyball	3300	.3003	.274	925	.265
	Cricket	Athletics	.0850	.3003	.778	510	.680
		Badminton	.3700	.3003	.220	225	.965
		Basketball	.5050	.3003	.095	090	1.100
		Football	6250*	.3003	.040	-1.220	030
		Volleyball	.1750	.3003	.561	420	.770
	Football	Athletics	.7100*	.3003	.020	.115	1.305
		Badminton	.9950*	.3003	.001	.400	1.590
		Basketball	1.1300*	.3003	.000	.535	1.725
		Cricket	.6250*	.3003	.040	.030	1.220
		Volleyball	.8000*	.3003	.009	.205	1.395
	Volleyball	Athletics	0900	.3003	.765	685	.505
		Badminton	.1950	.3003	.517	400	.790
		Basketball	.3300	.3003	.274	265	.925
		Cricket	1750	.3003	.561	770	.420
		Football	8000*	.3003	.009	-1.395	205
outside ball of	Athletics	Badminton	.2400	.3478	.492	449	.929
foot		Basketball	.5650	.3478	.107	124	1.254
		Cricket	.2950	.3478	.398	394	.984
		Football	1550	.3478	.657	844	.534
		Volleyball	.3950	.3478	.258	294	1.084
	Badminton	Athletics	2400	.3478	.492	929	.449
		Basketball	.3250	.3478	.352	364	1.014
		Cricket	.0550	.3478	.875	634	.744
		Football	3950	.3478	.258	-1.084	.294
		Volleyball	.1550	.3478	.657	534	.844
	Basketball	Athletics	5650	.3478	.107	-1.254	.124
		Badminton	3250	.3478	.352	-1.014	.364
		Cricket	2700	.3478	.439	959	.419
		Football	7200*	.3478	.041	-1.409	031
		Volleyball	1700	.3478	.626	859	.519
	Cricket	Athletics	2950	.3478	.398	984	.394
		Badminton	0550	.3478	.875	744	.634
		Basketball	.2700	.3478	.439	419	.959

		Football	4500	.3478	.198	-1.139	.239
		Volleyball	.1000	.3478	.774	589	.789
	Football	Athletics	.1550	.3478	.657	534	.844
		Badminton	.3950	.3478	.258	294	1.084
		Basketball	.7200*	.3478	.041	.031	1.409
		Cricket	.4500	.3478	.198	239	1.139
		Volleyball	.5500	.3478	.117	139	1.239
	Volleyball	Athletics	3950	.3478	.258	-1.084	.294
		Badminton	1550	.3478	.657	844	.534
		Basketball	.1700	.3478	.626	519	.859
		Cricket	1000	.3478	.774	789	.589
		Football	5500	.3478	.117	-1.239	.139
toe length	Athletics	Badminton	.3500	.1936	.073	033	.733
		Basketball	.2550	.1936	.190	128	.638
		Cricket	.1000	.1936	.606	283	.483
		Football	2200	.1936	.258	603	.163
		Volleyball	.3000	.1936	.124	083	.683
	Badminton	Athletics	3500	.1936	.073	733	.033
		Basketball	0950	.1936	.625	478	.288
		Cricket	2500	.1936	.199	633	.133
		Football	5700*	.1936	.004	953	187
		Volleyball	0500	.1936	.797	433	.333
	Basketball	Athletics	2550	.1936	.190	638	.128
		Badminton	.0950	.1936	.625	288	.478
		Cricket	1550	.1936	.425	538	.228
		Football	4750*	.1936	.016	858	092
		Volleyball	.0450	.1936	.817	338	.428
	Cricket	Athletics	1000	.1936	.606	483	.283
		Badminton	.2500	.1936	.199	133	.633
		Basketball	.1550	.1936	.425	228	.538
		Football	3200	.1936	.101	703	.063
		Volleyball	.2000	.1936	.304	183	.583
	Football	Athletics	.2200	.1936	.258	163	.603
		Badminton	.5700*	.1936	.004	.187	.953
		Basketball	.4750*	.1936	.016	.092	.858
		Cricket	.3200	.1936	.101	063	.703
		Volleyball	.5200*	.1936	.008	.137	.903
	Volleyball	Athletics	3000	.1936	.124	683	.083
		Badminton	.0500	.1936	.797	333	.433
		Basketball	0450	.1936	.817	428	.338
		Cricket	2000	.1936	.304	583	.183

		Football	5200*	.1936	.008	903	137
heel to	Athletics	Badminton	.3350	.2404	.166	141	.811
medial/lateral		Basketball	.6900*	.2404	.005	.214	1.166
malleolus		Cricket	.5550*	.2404	.023	.079	1.031
		Football	.8950*	.2404	.000	.419	1.371
		Volleyball	.5500*	.2404	.024	.074	1.026
	Badminton	Athletics	3350	.2404	.166	811	.141
		Basketball	.3550	.2404	.143	121	.831
		Cricket	.2200	.2404	.362	256	.696
		Football	.5600*	.2404	.022	.084	1.036
		Volleyball	.2150	.2404	.373	261	.691
	Basketball	Athletics	6900*	.2404	.005	-1.166	214
		Badminton	3550	.2404	.143	831	.121
		Cricket	1350	.2404	.576	611	.341
		Football	.2050	.2404	.396	271	.681
		Volleyball	1400	.2404	.561	616	.336
	Cricket	Athletics	5550*	.2404	.023	-1.031	079
		Badminton	2200	.2404	.362	696	.256
		Basketball	.1350	.2404	.576	341	.611
		Football	.3400	.2404	.160	136	.816
		Volleyball	0050	.2404	.983	481	.471
	Football	Athletics	8950*	.2404	.000	-1.371	419
		Badminton	5600*	.2404	.022	-1.036	084
		Basketball	2050	.2404	.396	681	.271
		Cricket	3400	.2404	.160	816	.136
		Volleyball	3450	.2404	.154	821	.131
	Volleyball	Athletics	5500*	.2404	.024	-1.026	074
		Badminton	2150	.2404	.373	691	.261
		Basketball	.1400	.2404	.561	336	.616
		Cricket	.0050	.2404	.983	471	.481
		Football	.3450	.2404	.154	131	.821

Results and Discussion

Multiple comparisons using the LSD approach show substantial differences in foot morphological profiles among players from a variety of sports, including athletics, badminton, basketball, cricket, football, and volleyball. Measurements of foot length, ball of foot length, outer ball of foot length, toe length, and heel to medial/lateral malleolus length reveal these disparities.

Foot Length (R)

- 1. The study found that basketball players have considerably longer right feet than athletics players, with a mean difference of 0.835 (p = 0.039).
- 2. Badminton players have substantially shorter feet than football players, with an average difference of -1.260 (p = 0.002).
- 3. Basketball players have much shorter feet than football players, with an average difference of -1.455 (p = 0.000).
- 4. Cricketers have much shorter feet than football players, with an average difference of -0.800 (p = 0.048).
- 5. Football players have significantly longer feet than volleyball players, with an average difference of 1.140 (p = 0.005).

According to these findings, football players had longer feet than athletes from other sports, particularly badminton, basketball, and cricket. This could be due to football's unique physical and biomechanical demands, which may influence foot growth.

Ball of Foot Length (R):

- 1. Football players have considerably shorter ball of foot lengths than athletics players, with an average difference of -0.710 (p = 0.020).
- 2. Football players have significantly shorter ball of foot lengths than badminton players, with an average difference of -0.995 (p = 0.001).
- 3. Football players had significantly shorter ball of foot lengths than basketball players, with an average difference of -1.130 (p = 0.000).
- 4. Football players had considerably shorter ball of foot lengths than cricketers, with an average difference of -0.625 (p = 0.040).
- 5. Football players had considerably larger ball of foot lengths than volleyball players, with an average difference of 0.800 (p = 0.009).

These findings suggest that football players had shorter ball of foot lengths than athletes in other sports, with the exception of volleyball. This trait could be related to the distinct foot mechanics necessary in football.

Outside Ball of Foot Length (R):

Significant differences in outside ball of foot length are observed between:

Basketball players had considerably shorter outside ball of foot lengths than football players, with an average difference of -0.720 (p = 0.041).

This research emphasizes football players' different foot structure in comparison to basketball players.

Toe Length(R):

There are significant variances in toe length between:

1. Football players have considerably longer toes than badminton players, with an average difference of 0.570 (p = 0.004).

- 2. Football players have considerably longer toes than basketball players, with an average difference of 0.475 (p = 0.016).
- 3. Football players have considerably longer toes than badminton players, with an average difference of 0.570 (p = 0.004).
- 4. Football players have considerably longer toes than basketball players, with an average difference of 0.475 (p = 0.016).
- 5. Football players have considerably longer toes than volleyball players, with anaverage difference of 0.520 (p = 0.008).

The statistics show that football players had longer toes than athletes in other sports, which could be owing to the unique demands of football that promote this morphological characteristic.

Length from heel to medial or lateral malleolus (R)

Significant variances in heel-medial/lateral malleolus length include:

- 1. Basketball players have considerably longer heel to medial/lateral malleolus lengths than athletes, with a mean difference of 0.690 (p = 0.005).
- 2. Cricketers have considerably longer heel-to-medial/lateral malleolus lengths than athletics, with a mean difference of 0.555 (p=0.023).
- 3. Football players have considerably longer heel to medial/lateral malleolus distances than athletes, with a mean difference of 0.895 (p = 0.000).
- 4. Volleyball players have considerably longer heel-to-medial/lateral malleolus lengths than athletes, with a mean difference of 0.550 (p=0.024).
- 5. Football players have considerably longer heel-to-medial/lateral malleolus lengths than badminton players, with a mean difference of 0.560 (p=0.022).

These results show that, in comparison to athletes in other sports, football players generally had longer heel to medial/lateral malleolus lengths, which may be due to the unique functional adaptations needed for football.

Conclusion:

Multiple comparisons demonstrate considerable differences in foot shape between players from different sports. Football players have unique foot traits, such as longer foot lengths, shorter ball of foot lengths, longer outside ball of foot lengths, longer toes, and longer heel to medial/lateral malleolus lengths. These variances are most likely the result of football's specific biomechanical and physical demands, which alter foot shape. Understanding these distinctions can provide insights into the individual needs and adaptations of players in other sports, resulting in better training, performance, and injury prevention techniques.

There were notable variations in the different foot morphology measurements between the sports. When compared to other sports, football had the most significant variances across a number of parameters, suggesting that football players have distinct foot morphological traits. Specific foot measurements in basketball, badminton, and athletics were notably different from those in other sports.

Reference

- 1. Kulthanan T, Techakampuch S, Donphongam N (2004). A study of footprint in athletes and non-athletic people. J. Med. Assoc. Thai. 87: 788-793.
- 2. Hoffman, M. D., Street, G., & Mueller, J. (2018). Foot strike patterns of runners at the 15-km point during an elite-level half marathon. Journal of Athletic Training, 53(1), 45-50.
- 3. Nigg, B. M. (2010). Biomechanics of sports shoes. Calgary: University of Calgary.
- 4. Wagner, H., Pfusterschmied, J., Tilp, M., &Landlinger, J. (2020). Comparison of plantar pressure distribution between different sports activities. Journal of Sports Sciences, 38(5), 531-538.